

Application No. 10/658,877
Response to Office Action dated September 12, 2006
Office Action dated June 22, 2006

REMARKS

Claims 1-16 remain pending in the subject application with Claim 1, 13 and 14 being independent.

Double Patenting Rejection

Claims 1-16 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting over Claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,688,607 in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,012,273 for the reasons set forth at pages 2-3 of the Action.


Applicants have prepared and submit herewith a Terminal Disclaimer to disclaim the terminal portion of any patent issuing upon this application that may extend beyond the full statutory term of U.S. Patent No. 6,688,607.

In view of the above, favorable reconsideration and passage to issue of the present case are respectfully requested.

Application No. 10/658,877
Response to Office Action dated September 12, 2006
Office Action dated June 22, 2006

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached by telephone at (860) 571-5001 or by facsimile at (860) 571-5028. All correspondence should be directed to the address given below.

Respectfully submitted,



Steven C. Bauman
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 33,832

HENKEL CORPORATION
Legal Department
1001 Trout Brook Crossing
Rocky Hill, Connecticut 06067
Customer No. 31217